

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/365,241	Applicant(s) BRODIN ET AL.
	Examiner Padmashri Ponnaluri	Art Unit 1639

All Participants:

(1) Padmashri Ponnaluri.

(2) Applicant's attorney J. Topmiller.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2003

Time: 11.50 AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

66 and 87

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has informed applicants attorney that the after final amendment filed on 11/25/03 has been considered, and would overcome the rejections of record. Examiner has suggested minor claim language changes in claims 66 to have antecedent basis to the word library and in claim 87 to delete claim 1, since claim 1 is not present. Applicants attorney has authorized the examiner to make the suggested changes by examiner's amendments.. .